



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/805,884	03/15/2001	Naohiro Furukawa	ASAM.0007	3520	
38327 759	90 08/02/2004		EXAMINER		
REED SMITH LLP			NGUYEN BA, PAUL H		
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			ÁRT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/805,884	FURUKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	nn the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod to preply is specified above, the maximum statutory period failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2001 is/are:	own from consideration. or election requirement.	rected to by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/2001.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

- 1. This action is responsive to original application filed on March 15, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-17 have been considered. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 13, and 14 are independent claims.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruce et al. ("Bruce"), U.S. Patent No. 6,741,724, in view of Stinson et al. ("Stinson"), U.S. Patent No. 6,695,204.

Independent Claim 1

Bruce teaches a form processing system comprising:

a management system...for creating and managing a form identification dictionary for (VCC) performs a check to in a verification database (compare with "form identification dictionary") to identify whether the text is valid and then formats, buffers, and ultimately stores the newly updated or created data in the National Change of Address (NCOA) database); and

a plurality of form processing terminals...to process the form (see Fig. 2; col. 2, lines 44-50; col. 4, lines $15-41 \rightarrow$ the processing terminals scans the forms, processes the images, and analyzes the text data (i.e. Scanner, OCR, Arbitrator)); wherein

said management system...and said plurality of...terminals are interconnected via network (see Figs. 1-4; col. 3, lines 61-65 — terminals (Scanner, OCR, Arbitrator) are linked together with the management system (VCC, Verification database, NCOA database, and VDT) in a network);

said form processing terminal, upon occurrence of failure...transmits image information of the form to said management system of form identification dictionary (col. 2, lines 49-56; col. 4, lines 32-34 - the text data in the form of an electronic data stream is sent to a VCC along with a copy of the image data from scanner and Arbitrator if the scanning error probability exceeds a predetermined limit); and

said management system...when said image information...has not been registered (i.e. Change of Address (COA) request had not previously been registered in the NCOA database)... creates information for identifying the type of form..., stores...(col. 2, lines 61-64:

Application/Control Number: 09/805,884

Art Unit: 2176

col. 3, lines 19-21; col. 5, lines 10-14 → VCC updates and stores newly created or revised information in the NCOA database).

Bruce does not specifically teach transmitting the created information to said form processing terminal.

However, Stinson teaches a check reader and processor (i.e. processing terminal) configured to receive information about the check to be processed from the check reader, access the database (i.e. management system) to obtain information, and determine whether to accept or reject the check based on input signals for the purpose of automatic check form identification (see Abstract).

Since Stinson and Bruce are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Stinson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Bruce. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Bruce with the teachings of Stinson to include transmitting *the created* information to said form processing terminal by input signals for the purpose of automatic form identification and database updating.

Independent Claim 2

With respect to independent claim 2, refer to the rationale relied upon to reject independent claim 1. However, Bruce does not specifically teach the system wherein when said image information...has been registered...reads out information for identifying the type of form...and transmits the read-out information to said form processing terminal.

However, if the Change of Address information had already been registered in the NCOA database, it was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art that the management

Application/Control Number: 09/805,884

Art Unit: 2176

system (VCC, NCOA database, etc.) would read out the information and transmit the information to the form processing terminals for the purpose of informing the customer that his information was already updated or created and that no further processing is necessary.

Claim 3

Bruce further teaches a form processing system wherein said form processing terminal, upon occurrence of failure...transmits to said management system...the image information of the form together with information for supporting a work of creating the form identification dictionary (col. 2, lines 49-56; col. 4, lines 32-34 \rightarrow the text data in the form of an electronic data stream is sent to a VCC along with a copy of the image data from scanner and Arbitrator if the scanning error probability exceeds a predetermined limit).

Claim 4

Bruce teaches a form processing system with respect to independent claim 1 discussed above, but does not specifically teach when said form identification dictionary...is updated, informs said plurality of form processing terminals of form...update information; and

said management system..., upon reception of a request for use..., distributes the form identification information as requested to...terminals.

However, Stinson teaches a check reader and processor (i.e. processing terminal) configured to receive information about the check to be processed from the check reader, access the database (i.e. management system) to obtain information, and determine whether to accept or reject the check based on input signals specifying updated information from the database for the purpose of automatic form identification (see Abstract).

Page 6

Since Stinson and Bruce are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Stinson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Bruce. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Bruce with the teachings of Stinson to include *informing said plurality of form* processing terminals of form...update information; and said management system..., upon reception of a request for use..., distributes the form identification information as requested to...terminals for the purpose of automatic form identification and database updates.

Claim 5

Bruce teaches a form processing system with respect to claim 4 discussed above, but does not specifically teach said management system...comprises a manager of system fee; and said manager of system fee...performs charging to said form processing terminals.

However, Stinson teaches a processor for determining a fee to charge customer for the purpose of seeking monetary retribution for services rendered (see col. 13, lines 11-15).

Since Stinson and Bruce are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Stinson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Bruce. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Bruce with the teachings of Stinson to include management system...comprises a manager of system fee; and said manager of system fee ... performs charging to said form processing terminals for the purpose of seeking monetary retribution for services rendered.

Independent Claim 6

Management system claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

Independent Claim 7

Management system claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 2, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 8

Management system claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 9

Management system claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Independent Claim 10

Form processing terminal claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 11

Form processing terminal claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 12

Form processing terminal claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Independent Claim 13

Method of distributing form identification information claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Independent Claim 14

Method of distributing form identification information claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 2, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 15

Method of distributing form identification information claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 16

Method of distributing form identification information claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 17

Method of distributing form identification information claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5974147 A	USPAT	19991026	Cordery, Robert A. et al.
US 5925864 A	USPAT	19990720	Sansone, Ronald P. et al.
US 20020026365 A1	US-PGPUB	20020228	Natanzon, Rony

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-8776. The examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 am - 7:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,884

Art Unit: 2176

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PNB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER